



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,321	12/31/2003	Michael D. Kotzin	CS10665	1712
20280 7590 12/13/2007 MOTOROLA INC 600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45 W4 - 39Q			EXAMINER	
			LEE, CHUN KUAN	
~	LE, IL 60048-5343		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2181	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING.LIBERTYVILLE@MOTOROLA.COM ADB035@Motorola.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,321	KOTZIN, MICHAEL D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	L · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	N V 10 OFT TO EVENE * ***	ITHO, OR THERTY (20) DAYS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	November 2007.					
,_	, <del></del> -					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami		÷				
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2004</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		ceived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the defined copies not re-	ocived.				
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Currently, claims 1-23 are pending for examination
- 2. In response to applicant's arguments, on page 7, last paragraph to page 8, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, regarding the independent claims 1, 15 and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) that the examiner's reliance on <u>Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA)</u> is improper because the section the examiner is relying on for the rejection is identifying the deficiencies in the prior art and what may be possible and desirable should a manner in which to overcome those deficiencies be developed; applicant's arguments have fully been considered, but are not considered to be persuasive.

The examiner respectfully disagree, because the examiner is relying on an example of the prior art instances. To further clarify with regard to the examiner's reliance on AAPA for the rejection, wherein AAPA does teach the following:

receiving and transmitting user presence attribute information (e.g. presence information of subset of users) (Specification, p. 1, II.10-24 and p. 2, II. 5-7), wherein the presence information for subset of user is received and transmitted in the instant message system; and

an access condition (e.g. during business hours, on-line status) define the condition when the corresponding user presence attribute information (e.g. employee

location, presence information for subset of user) is available to the corresponding identified one or more users (e.g. employer, user) (Specification, p. 1, II. 17-24 and p. 2, II. 19-22), wherein the presence information for subset of users is available to a user under the on-line status condition is historically utilized by instant message system.

3. In responding to all applicant's arguments, the examiner will maintain his position and the current rejection of record.

## I. <u>REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART</u>

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 6-7, 10-11, 15-16 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 15, <u>Raverdy</u> teaches a presence attribute information server and a manager application comprising:

a processor (CPU 512 of Fig. 5);

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

an interface unit (I/O interfaces 520 of Fig. 5), coupled to the processor, including a data input device for receiving data (e.g. user presence attribute information) and a network interface for transmitting data (e.g. user presence attribute information) (col. 8, II. 43-50), wherein data are received and transmitted via downloading and uploading through a network (Fig. 1, ref. 130, 150);

a storage unit (server memory 516 of Fig. 5 and Fig. 6), coupled to the interface unit (Fig. 5, ref. 520) and the processor (Fig. 5, ref. 512), including user presence attribute information (user information 618 and access right manager 626 of Fig. 6) and associated access authorization information (e.g. information stored in and utilized by the login/configuration manager 620 of Fig. 6 for implementing a login procedure to initially connect the user device to the event server, therefore the corresponding user presence attribute information transferred during and after the login procedure must be associated with the access authorization information) organized and arranged as one or more entries in a data structure (Fig. 7 and col. 9, I. 26 to col. 10, I. 39);

wherein said access authorization entries are each associated with corresponding user presence attribute information entries (as the user device must initially login to be connected (Fig. 9, ref. 924), therefore the access authorization entries must each be associated with the corresponding user presence attribute information entries for the corresponding logged in user device), each user presence attribute information entry having a presence attribute value field, corresponding to one or more types of presence attributes (e.g. user profile, location information, access right) (col. 10, II. 18-39), and

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

each access authorization information entry having a user field identifying one or more users (e.g. user data) and one or more access condition entries (e.g. access code) (col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43), wherein the user device must be identified to implement the login properly, as the user data comprising user profile (Fig. 4, ref. 412), along with the correct access code is transferred to the event server during the login procedure.

Raverdy does not teach the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

receiving and transmitting user presence attribute information; and
an access condition define the condition when the corresponding user presence
attribute information is available to the corresponding identified one or more users.

<u>AAPA</u> teaches the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

receiving and transmitting user presence attribute information (e.g. presence information of subset of users) (Specification, p. 1, II.10-24 and p. 2, II. 5-7), wherein the presence information for subset of user is received and transmitted in the instant message system; and

an access condition (e.g. during business hours, on-line status) define the condition when the corresponding user presence attribute information (e.g. employee location, presence information for subset of user) is available to the corresponding identified one or more users (e.g. employer, user) (Specification, p. 1, II. 17-24 and p. 2,

II. 19-22), wherein the presence information for subset of users is available to a user under the on-line status condition is historically utilized by instant message system.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>AAPA</u>'s user presence attribute information into <u>Raverdy</u>'s system for the benefit of selectively providing information to a user device thus increasing security for accessing the information (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 1, II. 56-64) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 and 15.

- 6. As per claim 6, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 1 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein at least one of the one or more access condition entries includes a proximity relative to a predetermined location (e.g. location profile) (AAPA, Specification, p. 1, II. 12-13 and Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43).
- 7. As per claim 7, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 6 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the predetermined location includes a specific place (e.g. location) (AAPA, Specification, p. 1, II. 12-13 and Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43).
- 8. As per claim 10, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 6 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the location is relative to the at least one of item or person

(e.g. user device) associated with the user presence attribute information (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 4, II. 48-54, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43).

- 9. As per claim 11, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 6 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the location is relative to the user requesting (e.g. user utilizing the user device at a particular location) the user presence attribute information (Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-56).
- 10. As per claim 16, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitation of claim 15 as discussed above, where <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the manager application comprising wherein said interface unit is further adapted for receiving access conditions (e.g. access code and other user data) associated with one or more users, which are used to formulate access authorization entries (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 9, II. 26-43).
- 11. As per claim 19, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 15 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the manager application further comprising a broadcast unit (e.g. wireless interface), coupled to the interface unit and the storage unit (Raverdy, col. 8, II. 23-35), the broadcast unit being adapted to transmit updated user presence attribute information (e.g. time-stamped access information) to at least one of a presence attribute information server (Raverdy, Fig. 1, ref. 130, 122) and subscribed users (e.g. user device (Raverdy, Fig. 1, ref. 114) utilized by the user),

that are currently authorized to receive updates, when the user presence attribute

of the user device expires the updated information comprising the termination of the

information changes (Raverdy, Fig. 9, ref. 940 and col. 11, II. 52-63), as the access right

connection is transferred to the LAN then to the base station and finally to the user

utilizing the user device.

processor (Raverdy, col. 9, II. 10-14).

12. As per claim 20, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 19 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the manager application comprising wherein the broadcast unit includes a set of prestored instructions for execution by the

- 13. As per claim 21, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitation of claim 19 as discussed above, where <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the manager application comprising wherein the presence attribute information manager application is incorporated as part of a portable electronic device (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 4, II. 48-54).
- 14. As per claim 22, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 21 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the manager application comprising wherein the portable electronic device is a wireless radio frequency telephone (e.g. cellular telephone device) (Raverdy, col. 4, II. 48-54).

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

- 15. Claims 2-5, 13-14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of AAPA as applied to claims 1 and 15, and further in view of Wade et al. (US Patent 5,552,776).
- 16. As per claim 2, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitations of claim 1 as discussed above, where, <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising selectively providing information to the user device comprising access to various services and content information based on time-stamped access information (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 11, II. 6-63).

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein at least one of the one or more access condition entries includes a predetermined period of time to be matched.

Wade teaches a security system and method for controlling access to computing device comprising matching of a predetermined period of time in order to gain access into the computing device (Fig. 3; col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Wade</u>'s matching of the predetermined period of time into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s presence attribute information server for the benefit of providing an enhanced versatile and flexible security control over access of data in a computing device (Wade, col. 2, II. 18-29) to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

- 17. As per claim 3, Raverdy, AAPA and Wade teach all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where Wade further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the predetermined period of time includes a time of day (Wade, Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).
- 18. As per claim 4, Raverdy, AAPA and Wade teach all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where Wade further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the predetermined period of time includes a day of the week (Wade, Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).
- 19. As per claim 5, Raverdy, AAPA and Wade teach all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where Wade further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein a predetermined period of time includes a point in time identifying the beginning of the predetermined period and a point in time identifying the end of the predetermined period (Wade, Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).
- 20. As per claims 13-14 and 23, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitations of claims 1 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server and a method for managing the access to presence attribute information comprising:

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

an access validation unit, coupled to the interface unit and the storage unit, the access validation unit being adapted for validating the received access code and other user data (Raverdy, col. 9, II. 26-43);

determining whether the user requesting the information is authorized to have access to the requested user presence attribute information (Raverdy, Fig. 9, ref. 924, wherein the user must provide the correct access code and the corresponding user data in order to have access) including

receiving any conditions (status information) relative to the requesting user associated with receiving access to the information (Raverdy, col. 9, II. 26-43), wherein the received condition comprising the access code and the user data including the user profile is associated with the at least one of item or person (e.g. user device), and

determining (comparing) whether the received conditions associated with receiving access have been met (Raverdy, Fig. 9, ref. 924), wherein the correct access code and user profile must be provided in order to complete the login procedure;

wherein, if the user has met the conditions associated with receiving access, then forwarding the user presence attribute information to the requesting user (<u>AAPA</u>, Specification, p. 2, II. 19-22 and <u>Raverdy</u>, col. 6, II. 62-65); and

wherein the access validation unit includes a set of prestored instructions for execution by the processor (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 9, II. 10-14).

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server and the method for managing the access to presence attribute information comprising:

the access validation unit receiving a request for user presence attribute information; and

the status information comprising the current time and date.

<u>Wade</u> teaches a security system and method for controlling access to computing device comprising:

access validation unit for receiving request to access a computing device (col. 8, ll. 53-65 and col. 16, l. 58 to col. 17, l. 7);

matching of a predetermined period of time in order to gain access into the computing device (Fig. 3; col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41); and wherein the period of time include the time of date and date of week (Fig. 3; col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Wade</u>'s matching of the predetermined period of time into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s presence attribute information server for the benefit of providing an enhanced versatile and flexible security control over access of data in a computing device (<u>Wade</u>, col. 2, II. 18-29) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 13-14 and 23.

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

21. Claims 8-9 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of AAPA as applied to claims 1 and 15, and further in view of Fushiki et al. (US Patent 6,433,704).

Raverdy and AAPA teaches all the limitations of claims 6 and 15 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

a base station coupled to the user device (Raverdy, Fig. 1);

the location profiles (Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9 line 26-56); and

wherein said interface unit further includes a data output device (Raverdy,

display 518 of Fig. 5) for presenting information in an iconic format (Raverdy, Fig. 5)

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

wherein the predetermined location include the present place;

wherein the proximity corresponds to a predetermined distance;

presenting the condition associated with authorizing access in an iconic format;

and

the data input device is further adapted for modifying the conditions being presented by the data output device associated with authorizing access to user presence attribute information associated with one or more users.

Fushiki teaches a system and method comprising:

a communication device comprising of a communication interface, memory and processor (Fig. 2; Fig. 8; Fig. 10 and col. 4, II. 24-37);

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

a longitude and latitude information to represent the present position of the portable terminal (Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Fig. 9 and col. 7, II. 4-47); and

a coverage area (e.g. predetermined distance) for the corresponding communication device (Fig. 7 and col. 6, II. 33-64);

the display screen (i.e. data output device) presenting requested information in an iconic format through using graphic user interface (GUI) for data inputting (Fig. 12 and col. 9, II. 4-44); and

the GUI is adapted to modify the requested information being presented by the display screen (Fig. 12 and col. 9, Il. 4-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Fushiki</u>'s longitude and latitude information, coverage area, presenting the requested information and the input device modifying the data presented by the output device into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s the presence attribute information server and the manager application for the benefit of enabling accurate determination of the position of the user device globally to obtain the invention as specified in claims 8-9 and 17-18. The resulting combination of the references teaches the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

wherein the predetermined location includes the longitude and latitude information;

wherein the proximity corresponds to the coverage area;

presenting the requested information in an iconic format, wherein the receiving of the requested information shows that the authorization access must been approved as user device is properly logged in; and

the GUI would modify the what is being presented by the display screen, wherein the request data would be displayed only of the user device is properly logged in, therefore the presented information would be associated with authorizing access to user presence attribute information associated with one or more users utilizing the user device.

22. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of AAPA as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Kruse et al. (US Patent 6,684,279).

Raverdy and AAPA teaches all the limitations of claims 6 and 15 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server comprising:

wherein the user information contains access conditions (e.g. user data of Fig. 4) for the associated user presence attribute information (<u>AAPA</u>, Specification, p. 2, Il. 19-22 and Raverdy, col. 9, Il. 26-56); and

determining whether the user device is authorized or precluded to access the associated user presence attribute information during the login procedure (<u>AAPA</u>, Specification, p. 2, II. 19-22 and <u>Raverdy</u>, Fig. 9, ref. 924).

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein access condition entries include a flag which, when an

10/749,321 Art Unit: 2181

access condition is met, identifies whether access to the associated presence information is authorized or precluded.

Kruse teaches a method and apparatus for controlling data transfer comprising setting a flag when a condition is met, which identifies whether the access to a bus is authorized or precluded (Fig. 11 and col. 22, II. 30-43)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Kruse</u>'s flag into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s access condition entries for the benefit of providing data access control without using another dedicated signal line (<u>Kruse</u>, col. 24, II. 32-36) to obtain the invention as specified in claim 12.

## II. CLOSING COMMENTS

#### Conclusion

### a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by M.P.E.P. 707.07(i):

## a(1) CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

Per the instant office action, claims 1-23 have received a final action on the merits. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## b. DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-0671. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM to 5PM.

# **IMPORTANT NOTE**

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 30, 2007

Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee

Examiner

Art Unit 2181

ALFORD KINDHED